

# 1. Committee Basics (FIA MUN Simulation)

**Committee:** Fédération Internationale de l'Automobile (FIA)

**Delegates:** F1 teams (e.g., Mercedes, Red Bull, Ferrari, Haas, etc.)

**Agendas:**

1. Post-Abu Dhabi 2021: Should the FIA overhaul its stewarding process to ensure fairness and transparency?
2. Technological Innovation in F1: Balancing Performance, Safety, and Environmental Impact

Each team acts as a *delegation*, defending their F1 interests while also addressing global ethical, environmental, and sporting fairness issues.

---

## 2. MUN Flow of Procedure (Step-by-Step)

Let's break down how the **session typically unfolds**:

---

### A. Roll Call

- The Chair takes attendance.
  - Each delegate responds with either:
    - "Present" (you can vote on all issues)
    - "Present and voting" (you must vote *for or against*, not abstain)
- 

### C. General Speakers' List (GSL)

This is the **main debate floor**.

Each delegate gives an **opening speech** (60 seconds) introducing:

- Their stance on the agenda
- What reforms or policies they support
- Possible alliances or opposition
- Will knock once in 30 and twice at 50

**Example (Mercedes):**

“Delegates of Mercedes believe that the FIA must implement permanent professional stewards to prevent subjective decisions like in 2021 Abu Dhabi... Transparency and consistency are vital to restore trust in F1.”

---

**D. Moderated Caucus (Focused Discussion)**

This is when debate focuses on a **specific subtopic** through short, time-limited speeches.

**Motion Example:**

“Motion for a 10-minute moderated caucus on *whether the FIA should introduce permanent stewards* with 30-second speaking time.”

- The Chair approves if relevant.
  - Each delegate raises their placard to be recognized for a short speech.
- 

**E. Unmoderated Caucus (Lobbying & Resolution Writing)**

This is a **free discussion** — no speeches, just movement and negotiation.

**Motion Example:**

“Motion for a 5-minute unmoderated caucus .”

During this time:

- Delegates **form blocs** (alliances) with similar views.
  - Teams work on **working papers** (draft resolutions).
- 

**F. Points and Motions**

Here's a quick guide:

Type	Purpose	When to Use	Example
<b>Point of Order</b>	To correct a mistake in procedure	During debate	"Point of order, the delegate exceeded speaking time."
<b>Point of Information</b>	To ask a question after a speech (if allowed)	After a speech	"Is the delegate aware that permanent stewards could create bias?"
<b>Point of Personal Privilege</b>	When you cannot hear/see properly	Anytime (non-disruptive)	"Point of personal privilege: could the speaker please speak louder?"
<b>Point of Inquiry</b>	To ask about rules or procedure	Anytime relevant	"Can the chair clarify the voting procedure on amendments?"
<b>Motion to Move into a Moderated Caucus</b>	To shift debate style	Anytime	"Motion for a 10-minute moderated caucus on transparency."
<b>Motion for Unmoderated Caucus</b>	To start lobbying or write resolutions	Anytime	"Motion for a 20-minute unmoderated caucus for drafting resolutions."
<b>Motion to Close Debate</b>	To end discussion and move to voting	When ready to vote	"Motion to close debate and move to voting procedure."

---

### 3. Writing a Resolution (the "Outcome" Document)

After debate, delegates merge their **working papers** into one or more **draft resolutions** — official policy proposals for FIA reform.

---

#### Resolution Format:

##### Heading:

- Committee: Fédération Internationale de l'Automobile
- Topic: [Choose one agenda item]
- Main submitter : [Main speaker ]

- Co submitter : [Delegates who will explain the resolution and receive Point Of informations ]

---

### **Preambulatory Clauses (describe the problem and justify action)**

Use past participles or formal phrasing:

**Recognizing** the controversy of the 2021 Abu Dhabi Grand Prix,  
**Acknowledging** the reforms already implemented by the FIA,  
**Deeply concerned** by ongoing inconsistency in stewarding decisions,  
**Affirming** the need for transparency and accountability in F1 governance,

---

### **Operative Clauses (specific actions or reforms)**

Use present tense verbs and number them:

1. **Recommends** the establishment of a *Permanent Stewarding Panel* to ensure consistent decisions across all races;
  2. **Calls for** the creation of a *Public Stewarding Report System* to explain controversial rulings in real time
  3. **Proposes** the adoption of *AI-assisted decision support tools* to reduce human bias in race control;
  4. **Encourages** periodic reviews of steward performance by an *Independent FIA Ethics Board*;
  5. **Requests** that the FIA consult with teams and drivers to evaluate commercial influence on officiating decisions.
- 

### **For the Second Topic (Tech & Environment):**

Example Preambulatory Clauses:

*Noting* the FIA's pledge for carbon neutrality by 2030,  
*Acknowledging* the role of hybrid power units in reducing emissions,  
*Concerned* about cost disparities between large and small teams,

Operative Clauses:

1. **Supports** research into sustainable fuel alternatives such as biofuels and hydrogen;
  2. **Encourages** partnerships with environmental agencies to develop green racing initiatives;
  3. **Calls for** the FIA to introduce environmental impact scoring for all car components;
  4. **Reaffirms** the importance of maintaining safety and performance while reducing carbon output.
- 

## 4. Amendments

After a draft resolution is introduced:

- **Friendly amendments** (approved by sponsors) are added directly.
  - **Unfriendly amendments** (not approved) are debated and voted on.
- 

## 5. Voting Procedure

Once debate closes:

- The Chair moves to **voting procedure**.
- Delegates cannot leave or speak except to explain a vote (if allowed).

**Vote types:**

- **For**
- **Against**
- **Abstain**

A **simple majority** passes a resolution.

---

---

## Summary Flow Chart

1. Roll Call
2. Set Agenda
3. General Speakers' List (Opening Speeches)
4. Moderated Caucus → Focused Debate
5. Unmoderated Caucus → Write Resolutions
6. Draft Resolution Introduction
7. Amendments
8. Voting
9. Results Announced

---

Issue explanation

## **Issue 1: Post-Abu Dhabi 2021 — Should the FIA overhaul its stewarding process to ensure fairness and transparency?**

---

### Context and Explanation

The 2021 Abu Dhabi Grand Prix was one of the most **controversial events in Formula 1 history**.

A decision by then Race Director **Michael Masi** — allowing only some lapped cars to un-lap themselves — directly influenced the championship outcome between **Lewis Hamilton** and **Max Verstappen**.

The FIA's goal is to ensure *sporting integrity*, but that incident exposed major **structural weaknesses** in its **stewarding and race control system**:

- Lack of consistency across races.
- Poor communication between teams and the race director.
- Insufficient transparency to fans and media.
- Possible influence from commercial or entertainment pressures.

The FIA reacted by introducing reforms (e.g., Virtual Race Control Room, alternating Race Directors, restricted team communications), but **teams and fans still argue** that decision-making remains **inconsistent and opaque**.

## 2 Core Problems / Debate Issues

Problem	Explanation	Debate Direction
<b>Inconsistent Stewarding</b>	Different race weekends produce different interpretations of the same rules.	Should the FIA introduce a <i>permanent panel of professional stewards</i> instead of rotating volunteers?
<b>Lack of Transparency</b>	Fans and teams don't fully understand how or why decisions are made.	Should the FIA publish <i>real-time decision rationales</i> (like VAR or TMO reviews)?
<b>Commercial Influence</b>	The 2021 finale seemed to prioritize drama and entertainment over fairness.	Should the FIA create strict barriers between <i>commercial rights holders (Liberty Media)</i> and <i>race officials</i> ?
<b>Accountability Gaps</b>	There's little follow-up or review of stewarding errors.	Should there be an <i>independent oversight board</i> to review controversial rulings?

Additional council tweaks ( because we are creative and we are the best chairs )

We will be having Crisis issue: at any time within the debate the chairs will announces changes that happened. Whether the changes are in the media, between the team staff or even sponsor pressure. SO BE READY FOR THE CHAOS.

---

# Issue 2: Technological Innovation in F1 — Balancing Performance, Safety, and Environmental Impact

---

## 1 Context and Explanation

Formula 1 is both a **sport and a technology laboratory**. Every season, teams compete not only on track but also in engineering innovation — designing faster, safer, and more efficient cars.

However, three conflicting priorities shape the debate:

Goal	Description	Conflict
<b>Performance</b>	Teams constantly push the limits for speed and competitiveness.	High-performance tech increases costs and creates inequality between teams.
<b>Safety</b>	FIA prioritizes protecting drivers through car design and regulations.	Too many restrictions may limit performance or increase costs.
<b>Sustainability</b>	F1 pledges carbon neutrality by 2030 and supports greener tech.	Environmentally friendly materials and fuels can reduce speed or raise costs.

Since 2014, hybrid engines have improved efficiency but also made F1 **less affordable for smaller teams**.

Meanwhile, **cost caps (introduced in 2021)** have improved competitiveness but raised questions about **innovation limits**.

---

## 2 Core Problems / Debate Issues

Problem	Explanation	Debate Direction
<b>Environmental Impact</b>	Despite sustainability goals, F1 remains carbon-intensive.	Should F1 push towards <b>electric or hydrogen power units</b> ?

<b>Cost Inequality</b>	Big teams can invest heavily in R&D; small teams cannot.	Are <b>cost caps</b> fair, or do they <b>stifle technological innovation</b> ?
<b>Safety vs. Speed</b>	New materials (like lighter carbon fibre) improve speed but risk fragility.	Should <b>safety regulations</b> override performance ambitions?
<b>Tech Transfer</b>	Innovations in F1 often benefit road cars (hybrid systems, energy recovery).	Should FIA encourage <b>road car relevance</b> in its regulations?

---

Other tweaks **BECAUSE WE ARE THE BEST**. In the mun day itself. We will give each team a certain budget that they can spend on innovations and technology upgrade. This budget will based on how rich is the team in real life, **SO KEEP IN MIND WHICH TEAM ARE YOU IN BROOKIEEE**

---

## Overall Tweaks to the Entire Committee Design

Section	Original	Suggested Tweaks
<b>Debate Themes</b>	Focused on fairness and innovation	Add <i>AI technology</i> , <i>media scrutiny</i> , and <i>public trust</i> dimensions
<b>Interactive Elements</b>	Already strong (Crisis updates, press, replays)	Add <i>AI penalty simulation</i> and <i>sustainability crisis twist</i>
<b>Delegation Roles</b>	F1 teams only	Optionally include <i>FIA representatives</i> or <i>sponsor observers</i> for realism
<b>Gamification</b>	Points system	Add <i>“Fastest Pit Stop” bonus</i> (quick rebuttals earn extra points) and <i>“Penalty Laps”</i> (if delegates violate procedure)

---

## Summary

<b>Issue</b>	<b>Core Focus</b>	<b>Debate Balance</b>
<b>Post-Abu Dhabi 2021</b>	Fairness, transparency, and accountability in stewarding	Sports integrity vs commercial entertainment
<b>Technological Innovation</b>	Balancing performance, safety, and sustainability	Progress vs equality vs cost

---